Deborah.Easterling

From:

Jocelyn.Boyd

Sent:

Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:04 AM

To:

Deborah.Easterling

Cc:

davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette;

Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org;

davido@sunstoresolar.com

Subject:

FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

----Original Message----

From: Sierra Club [mailto:information@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Reta Richardson

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:09 PM

To: Jocelyn.Boyd

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Joceyln Boyd 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Time has passed to wait any longer to push forward to find ways to develop renewable sources of the vast amounts of energy it takes to satisfy people's demands. Because we humans will continue to demand more and more of all the benefits that depend on energy to run our machines, appliances, etc. and the costs will continue to rise, I do not understand why the PSC is delaying the progress to make net metering a reality in SC and to pull out all stops to help us develop all potential clean energy sources. This should be at the top of your priority list of services to support for the benefit of all SC citizens.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Reta Richardson 105 Belle Rive Dr Ninety Six, SC 29666-9413

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Reta Richardson <retajean_2000

@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:10 PM

To: PSC_Commissioner.Whitfield

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Swain Whitfield 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Time has passed to wait any longer to push forward to find ways to develop renewable sources of the vast amounts of energy it takes to satisfy people's demands. Because we humans will continue to demand more and more of all the benefits that depend on energy to run our machines, appliances, etc. and the costs will continue to rise, I do not understand why the PSC is delaying the progress to make net metering a reality in SC and to pull out all stops to help us develop all potential clean energy sources. This should be at the top of your priority list of services to support for the benefit of all SC citizens.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Nina.Gates

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Reta Richardson <retajean_2000

@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:09 PM

To:

PSC_Commissioner.Fleming

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Time has passed to wait any longer to push forward to find ways to develop renewable sources of the vast amounts of energy it takes to satisfy people's demands. Because we humans will continue to demand more and more of all the benefits that depend on energy to run our machines, appliances, etc. and the costs will continue to rise, I do not understand why the PSC is delaying the progress to make net metering a reality in SC and to pull out all stops to help us develop all potential clean energy sources. This should be at the top of your priority list of services to support for the benefit of all SC citizens.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Nina.Gates

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Reta Richardson <retajean 2000

@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:09 PM

To: PSC_Commissioner.Hamilton

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Time has passed to wait any longer to push forward to find ways to develop renewable sources of the vast amounts of energy it takes to satisfy people's demands. Because we humans will continue to demand more and more of all the benefits that depend on energy to run our machines, appliances, etc. and the costs will continue to rise, I do not understand why the PSC is delaying the progress to make net metering a reality in SC and to pull out all stops to help us develop all potential clean energy sources. This should be at the top of your priority list of services to support for the benefit of all SC citizens.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Melissa.Purvis

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Reta Richardson <retajean_2000

@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:10 PM

To:

PSC_Commissioner.Randall

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Comer Randall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Time has passed to wait any longer to push forward to find ways to develop renewable sources of the vast amounts of energy it takes to satisfy people's demands. Because we humans will continue to demand more and more of all the benefits that depend on energy to run our machines, appliances, etc. and the costs will continue to rise, I do not understand why the PSC is delaying the progress to make net metering a reality in SC and to pull out all stops to help us develop all potential clean energy sources. This should be at the top of your priority list of services to support for the benefit of all SC citizens.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Melissa.Purvis

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Reta Richardson <retajean_2000

@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:09 PM

То:

PSC_Commissioner.Howard

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

John Howard 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Time has passed to wait any longer to push forward to find ways to develop renewable sources of the vast amounts of energy it takes to satisfy people's demands. Because we humans will continue to demand more and more of all the benefits that depend on energy to run our machines, appliances, etc. and the costs will continue to rise, I do not understand why the PSC is delaying the progress to make net metering a reality in SC and to pull out all stops to help us develop all potential clean energy sources. This should be at the top of your priority list of services to support for the benefit of all SC citizens.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Reta Richardson <retajean_2000

@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:09 PM

To: PSC_Commissioner.Hall

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Nikiya Hall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Time has passed to wait any longer to push forward to find ways to develop renewable sources of the vast amounts of energy it takes to satisfy people's demands. Because we humans will continue to demand more and more of all the benefits that depend on energy to run our machines, appliances, etc. and the costs will continue to rise, I do not understand why the PSC is delaying the progress to make net metering a reality in SC and to pull out all stops to help us develop all potential clean energy sources. This should be at the top of your priority list of services to support for the benefit of all SC citizens.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,